

---

Bojan Jovanović<sup>1</sup>, Adi Hadžibegović<sup>1</sup>, Jovana Avramović<sup>1</sup>,  
Milan Savić<sup>2</sup>, Ivan Rović<sup>1</sup>, Đuro Šijan<sup>1</sup>, Nebojša Brezić<sup>1</sup>,  
Jovana Antonijević<sup>1</sup>, Sofija Miroslavljević<sup>1</sup>

## **NOSOCOMNIAL PNEUMONIA**

**Summary:** Nosocomial (hospital) pneumonias (hospital-acquired pneumonia - HAP) are defined as pneumonias in hospitalized patients that occur within 48 hours after admission to the hospital or later. These types of lung parenchymal infections are caused by pathogens that are present in the hospital environment. The incubation period is no longer than two days. Nosocomial pneumonias are the second most common of all hospital infections and the highest prevalence is recorded in intensive care units (ICU) (internal medicine and surgery). They represent a great burden on the health system everywhere in the world, because it is estimated that as many as 25% of infections in the ICU are hospital-acquired, and that 50% of all antibiotics are used precisely for their treatment.

Recognizing the causative agent can be challenging, primarily due to the difficulty of adequate sputum sampling, but also due to the lack of understanding of the epidemiological situation in a particular health facility.

### ***Introduction***

The definition of nosocomial pneumonia has changed in the last few decades. The American Thoracic Society (ATS) first published recommendations for the diagnosis and treatment of hospital-acquired pneumonia in 1996<sup>1</sup>. By 1998, Trouillet and colleagues recommended classifying patients with VAP (ventilator-associated pneumonia) based on risk factors to optimize initial antibiotic therapy.

---

<sup>1</sup> Bojan Jovanović, Urgentni centar, Univerzitetski klinički centar Srbije, Služba anesteziologije i intenzivne medicine, [bjovanovic@outlook.com](mailto:bjovanovic@outlook.com)

<sup>2</sup> Klinika za grudnu hirurgiju, Univerzitetski klinički centar Srbije

## *Epidemiology*

In a significant international study (EPIC II), it was proven that 51% of patients in intensive care units have an infection<sup>2</sup>. The incidence and prevalence of hospital infections vary between centers and even within intensive care units in the same institution. On average, the incidence is 9-27%, equivalent to 1.2 to 8.5 cases per 1000 days of mechanical ventilation. Patient age significantly influences the incidence, with younger than 35 years at 5 per 1000 hospitalizations and those over 65 years at 15 per 1000 hospitalizations<sup>3-5</sup>.

The risk of VAP occurrence increases by 1% per day on average during mechanical ventilation, peaking at around 3% in the first 5 days. It then decreases to 2% per day from days 5-10 and 1% after the tenth day.

## *Classification*

Pneumonias can be primarily endogenous (pathogen isolated on admission), secondarily endogenous (colonization of oropharyngeal and gastrointestinal pathogens during hospitalization leading to translocation to lower respiratory tract), and exogenous (colonization due to mechanical ventilation, e.g., tubes, humidifiers, bronchoscopy, and the pathogen is not primarily isolated on patient admission)<sup>6</sup>.

Ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP) is a type of hospital pneumonia that can occur 48 hours after intubating a patient. They occur in intensive care units and are characterized by the presence of new or progressive infiltrates on chest X-ray, signs of systemic infection, changes in sputum characteristics, and the detection of pathogens. VAP is the most common hospital infection in the ICU<sup>7</sup>.

VAP is divided into early and late depending on the time from the start of mechanical ventilation. This division is significant because causative agents and initial empirical treatment vary, affecting outcomes. Early VAP occurs within the first 4 days, while late VAP occurs after that period. However, studies comparing different time periods for early and late VAP found no significant differences in isolated pathogens, the occurrence of multidrug-resistant bacteria, or clinical significance<sup>8-10</sup>.

Healthcare-associated pneumonia (HCAP) is defined as pneumonia in a person who has been hospitalized for at least two days in the past 90 days, resides in nursing facilities, or has received home-based therapy. It also includes those undergoing chronic dialysis in the last 30 days or living in households where a member is infected and/or colonized by multidrug-resistant bacteria. HCAP encompasses pneumonia occurring three days after using a specific antibiotic in a healthcare facility, chemotherapy, or any wound care<sup>11</sup>.

In recent years, changes in the healthcare system have led to a significant number of patients, especially chronic patients, being cared for outside institutions (e.g., at

home). This blurs the distinction between community and hospital infections, leading to higher mortality in HCAP due to inadequate initial antibiotic therapy in the presence of multidrug-resistant strains.

## ***Diagnosis***

There is no universally accepted gold standard for diagnosing VAP as no clinical method has shown significant sensitivity and specificity<sup>12</sup>. The only reliable method for early diagnosis is daily clinical assessment with radiographic monitoring. This involves a new, persistent infiltrate on a chest X-ray 48 hours after admission, accompanied by one systemic and two pulmonary (respiratory) criteria. Systemic criteria include a temperature  $>38^{\circ}\text{C}$ , leukocytosis ( $>12,000/\text{mm}^3$ ) or leukopenia ( $<4,000/\text{mm}^3$ ), and altered mental status in those over 70 years without another apparent cause. Pulmonary criteria include the appearance of purulent sputum, worsening gas analysis, cough, dyspnea, or tachypnea, and abnormal lung auscultation<sup>13-16</sup>.

However, clinical assessment alone does not diagnose a third of VAP cases, as shown in autopsies, and can be incorrect in over 50% of cases. Clinical criteria have only 69% sensitivity and 75% specificity. The CDC definition of VAP is subject to variability among interpreting physicians and subjectivity. Therefore, a simplified version of patient surveillance for pneumonia has been attempted, primarily focusing on oxygenation parameters<sup>17,18</sup>. Deterioration in oxygenation parameters was defined as a period of increased PEEP for more than two days after two days of stable values or an increase in  $\text{FiO}_2$  for at least 0.15 bar for two days after a period of normal  $\text{FiO}_2$  values. VAP was diagnosed significantly faster (3.5 vs. 39 minutes per patient), although there was no difference in mortality, ICU stay, and mechanical ventilation<sup>19</sup>.

The validity of clinical assessment for diagnosis and the duration of antibiotic therapy was recently examined in a study by Kalanuria et al. in neurosurgical intensive care units. They found significant variation in VAP therapy duration and excessive antibiotic use among clinicians. Only 31.3% of treated patients met CDC criteria. There was also a significantly longer antibiotic treatment duration based solely on clinical assessment, although there was no difference in mortality between the groups<sup>20-25</sup>.

## ***Radiological Diagnosis***

Chest X-rays are not always reliable, as many other conditions can produce similar findings: pneumonitis, congestive changes, atelectasis, effusion, hemorrhage, contusion, and even acute respiratory distress syndrome. There is a poor correlation between radiological findings and histopathological diagnosis of pneumonia. Interpretation of radiological findings is sometimes challenging in intubated, critically ill

patients. Studies have demonstrated that a chest X-ray may be normal, while infiltrates are visible on a CT scan, especially in patients with COPD. No radiological sign for pneumonia in intubated patients has a diagnostic accuracy greater than 68%. However, a meta-analysis by Kolmpas et al. showed that the absence of clinical parameters such as fever, leukocytosis, and purulent secretion does not exclude the diagnosis of VAP, while the absence of a positive radiological sign significantly reduces the likelihood of pneumonia<sup>26</sup>.

### ***Sputum Sampling and Analysis***

Sampling can be done invasively or non-invasively, with bronchoscopic or non-bronchoscopic methods. Invasive methods include bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) and protected specimen brush (PSB), while endotracheal aspiration and mini-BAL are non-bronchoscopic methods.

Bronchoalveolar lavage involves placing a bronchoscope to the level of subsegmental bronchi and injecting 20-50 ml of sterile saline. About 5 ml of the obtained sample is sufficient for microbiological analysis<sup>27</sup>. Mini-BAL involves passing an aspiration catheter through the endotracheal tube until resistance is encountered, injecting saline, aspirating the content, and sending it for analysis.

PSB is a technique that reaches the most distal point with a special brush, providing more precise sampling. The sample is diluted with 1 ml of Ringer's solution. The threshold for quantitative diagnosis in this case is  $10^3$  cfu/ml<sup>28</sup>.

Endotracheal aspiration is undoubtedly the fastest, easiest, and cheapest sampling method. Although the result has high sensitivity, specificity is extremely low (14-47%), so such a sample is quantitatively analyzed. Endotracheal aspiration involves passing the aspiration catheter through the endotracheal tube until resistance is encountered, then aspirating the content sent for microbiological analysis<sup>29-35</sup>.

Therefore, sample analysis can be quantitative or qualitative. Although quantitative analysis has higher specificity in diagnosing VAP, false-negative results can be obtained in patients with early VAP with inadequate antibiotic therapy or false positives in colonization during mechanical ventilation. Bronchoscopic methods have a lower threshold for diagnosing VAP ( $10^4$  cfu/ml for BAL and  $10^3$  cfu/ml for PSB), while the threshold for samples obtained by endotracheal aspiration is  $10^6$  cfu/ml.

### ***Therapy***

The therapy for hospital pneumonias is based on understanding the epidemiological situation in a particular hospital or intensive care unit. A successful treatment approach always involves a multidisciplinary approach, with the participation of

infectious disease specialists, epidemiologists, microbiologists, as well as anesthesiologists or intensivists<sup>36</sup>.

## ***Conclusion***

Hospital pneumonias pose challenges both in terms of diagnosis and treatment, considering the increasing resistance of pathogens to antibiotics and the emergence of new forms of adaptation leading to greater resistance. Understanding the pharmacodynamics and pharmacokinetics of antibiotics is crucial, especially in empirical treatment. Currently, the involvement of multiple physicians from different specialties remains crucial in deciding which antibiotics to include as a measure to prevent inadequate treatment and increased resistance.

## ***References***

1. Niederman MS, Torres A. Severe community-acquired pneumonia. *Eur Respir Rev.* 2022; 31: 220123.
2. Rider AC, Frazee BW. Community-Acquired Pneumonia. *Emerg Med Clin North Am.* 2018 Nov; 36(4): 665–683.
3. Rothberg MB. Community-Acquired Pneumonia. *Ann Intern Med.* 2022 Apr; 175(4): ITC49–ITC64.
4. Aliberti S, Dela Cruz CS, Amati F, Sotgiu G, Restrepo MI. Community-acquired pneumonia. *Lancet.* 2021 Sep 4; 398(10303): 906–919.
5. Mandell LA. Community-acquired pneumonia: An overview. *Postgrad Med.* 2015 Aug; 127(6): 607–15.
6. Lanks CW, Musani AI, Hsia DW. Community-acquired Pneumonia and Hospital-acquired Pneumonia. *Med Clin North Am.* 2019 May; 103(3): 487–501.
7. Musher DM, Thorner AR. Community-acquired pneumonia. *N Engl J Med.* 2014 Oct 23; 371(17): 1619–28.
8. Torres A, Peetermans WE, Viegi G, Blasi F. Risk factors for community-acquired pneumonia in adults in Europe: a literature review. *Thorax.* 2013 Nov; 68(11): 1057–65.
9. Dickson RP, Erb-Downward JR, Martinez FJ, Huffnagle GB. The Microbiome and the Respiratory Tract. *Annu Rev Physiol.* 2016; 78: 481–504.
10. Bello S, Menéndez R, Antoni T, Reyes S, Zalacain R, Capelastegui A, Aspa J, Borderias L, Martín-Villasclaras JJ, Alfageme I, Rodríguez de Castro F, Rello J, Luis M, Ruiz-Manzano J. Tobacco smoking increases the risk for death from pneumococcal pneumonia. *Chest.* 2014 Oct; 146(4): 1029–1037.
11. Musher DM, Roig IL, Cazares G, Stager CE, Logan N, Safar H. Can an etiologic agent be identified in adults who are hospitalized for community-acquired pneumonia: results of a one-year study. *J Infect.* 2013 Jul;67(1): 11–8.

12. Johansson N, Kalin M, Tiveljung-Lindell A, Giske CG, Hedlund J. Etiology of community-acquired pneumonia: increased microbiological yield with new diagnostic methods. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2010 Jan 15; 50(2): 202–9.
13. Musher DM, Abers MS, Bartlett JG. Evolving Understanding of the Causes of Pneumonia in Adults, With Special Attention to the Role of Pneumococcus. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2017 Oct 30; 65(10): 1736–1744.
14. Griffin MR, Zhu Y, Moore MR, Whitney CG, Grijalva CG. U.S. hospitalizations for pneumonia after a decade of pneumococcal vaccination. *N Engl J Med*. 2013 Jul 11; 369(2): 155–63.
15. Muralidar S, Ambi SV, Sekaran S, Krishnan UM. The emergence of COVID-19 as a global pandemic: Understanding the epidemiology, immune response and potential therapeutic targets of SARS-CoV-2. *Biochimie*. 2020 Dec; 179: 85–100.
16. Hedberg P, Johansson N, Ternhag A, Abdel-Halim L, Hedlund J, Naclér P. Bacterial co-infections in community-acquired pneumonia caused by SARS-CoV-2, influenza virus and respiratory syncytial virus. *BMC Infect Dis*. 2022 Jan 31; 22(1): 108.
17. Dickson RP, Erb-Downward JR, Huffnagle GB. Towards an ecology of the lung: new conceptual models of pulmonary microbiology and pneumonia pathogenesis. *Lancet Respir Med*. 2014 Mar; 2(3): 238–46.
18. Faner R, Sibila O, Agustí A, Bernasconi E, Chalmers JD, Huffnagle GB, Manichanh C, Molyneaux PL, Paredes R, Pérez Brocal V, Ponomarenko J, Sethi S, Dorca J, Monsó E. The microbiome in respiratory medicine: current challenges and future perspectives. *Eur Respir J*. 2017 Apr 12; 49(4): 1602086.
19. Eshwara VK, Mukhopadhyay C, Rello J. Community-acquired bacterial pneumonia in adults: An update. *Indian J Med Res*. 2020 Apr; 151(4): 287–302.
20. Self WH, Wunderink RG, Williams DJ, Zhu Y, Anderson EJ, Balk RA, Fakhran SS, Chappell JD, Casimir G, Courtney DM, Trabue C, Waterer GW, Bramley A, Magill S, Jain S, Edwards KM, Grijalva CG. *Staphylococcus aureus* Community-acquired Pneumonia: Prevalence, Clinical Characteristics, and Outcomes. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2016 Aug 1; 63(3): 300–9. doi: 10.1093/cid/ciw300. Epub 2016 May 8. PMID: 27161775; PMCID: PMC4946021.
21. Fujitani S, Sun HY, Yu VL, Weingarten JA. Pneumonia due to *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: part I: epidemiology, clinical diagnosis, and source. *Chest*. 2011 Apr; 139(4): 909–919. doi: 10.1378/chest.10-0166. PMID: 21467058.
22. Musher DM, Abers MS, Bartlett JG. Evolving Understanding of the Causes of Pneumonia in Adults, With Special Attention to the Role of Pneumococcus. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2017 Oct 30; 65(10): 1736–1744. doi: 10.1093/cid/cix549. PMID: 29028977; PMCID: PMC7108120.
23. Ramirez JA, Wiemken TL, Peyrani P, Arnold FW, Kelley R, Mattingly WA, Nakamatsu R, Pena S, Guinn BE, Furmanek SP, Persaud AK, Raghuram A, Fernandez F, Beavin L, Bosson R, Fernandez-Botran R, Cavallazzi R, Bordon J, Valdivieso C, Schulte J, Carrico RM; University of Louisville Pneumonia Study Group. Adults Hospitalized With Pneumonia in the United States: Incidence, Epidemiology, and Mortality. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2017 Nov 13; 65(11): 1806–1812.
24. Mandell LA. Community-acquired pneumonia: An overview. *Postgrad Med*. 2015 Aug; 127(6): 607–15.

25. Lanks CW, Musani AI, Hsia DW. Community-acquired Pneumonia and Hospital-acquired Pneumonia. *Med Clin North Am*. 2019 May; 103(3): 487–501. doi: 10.1016/j.mena.2018.12.008. Epub 2019 Mar 7. PMID: 30955516.
26. Rothberg MB. Community-Acquired Pneumonia. *Ann Intern Med*. 2022 Apr; 175(4): ITC49–ITC64. doi: 10.7326/AITC202204190. Epub 2022 Apr 12. PMID: 35404672.
27. Di Pasquale MF, Sotgiu G, Gramegna A, Radovanovic D, Terraneo S, Reyes LF, Rupp J, González Del Castillo J, Blasi F, Aliberti S, Restrepo MI; GLIMP Investigators. Prevalence and Etiology of Community-acquired Pneumonia in Immunocompromised Patients. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2019 Apr 24; 68(9): 1482–1493.
28. Metlay JP, Waterer GW, Long AC, Anzueto A, Brozek J, Crothers K, Cooley LA, Dean NC, Fine MJ, Flanders SA, Griffin MR, Metersky ML, Musher DM, Restrepo MI, Whitney CG. Diagnosis and Treatment of Adults with Community-acquired Pneumonia. An Official Clinical Practice Guideline of the American Thoracic Society and Infectious Diseases Society of America. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2019 Oct 1; 200(7): e45–e67.
29. Moore M, Stuart B, Little P, Smith S, Thompson MJ, Knox K, van den Bruel A, Lown M, Mant D. Predictors of pneumonia in lower respiratory tract infections: 3C prospective cough complication cohort study. *Eur Respir J*. 2017 Nov 22; 50(5): 1700434.
30. Froes F, Pereira JG, Póvoa P. Outpatient management of community-acquired pneumonia. *Curr Opin Pulm Med*. 2019 May; 25(3): 249–256.
31. Jain S, Self WH, Wunderink RG, Fakhran S, Balk R, Bramley AM, Reed C, Grijalva CG, Anderson EJ, Courtney DM, Chappell JD, Qi C, Hart EM, Carroll F, Trabue C, Donnelly HK, Williams DJ, Zhu Y, Arnold SR, Ampofo K, Waterer GW, Levine M, Lindstrom S, Winchell JM, Katz JM, Erdman D, Schneider E, Hicks LA, McCullers JA, Pavia AT, Edwards KM, Finelli L; CDC EPIC Study Team. Community-Acquired Pneumonia Requiring Hospitalization among U.S. Adults. *N Engl J Med*. 2015 Jul 30; 373(5): 415–27.
32. Modi AR, Kovacs CS. Community-acquired pneumonia: Strategies for triage and treatment. *Cleve Clin J Med*. 2020 Mar; 87(3): 145–151.
33. Gadsby NJ, Musher DM. The Microbial Etiology of Community-Acquired Pneumonia in Adults: from Classical Bacteriology to Host Transcriptional Signatures. *Clin Microbiol Rev*. 2022 Dec 21; 35(4): e0001522.
34. Hunton R. Updated concepts in the diagnosis and management of community-acquired pneumonia. *JAAPA*. 2019 Oct; 32(10): 18–23.
35. Martin-Loeches I, Torres A. New guidelines for severe community-acquired pneumonia. *Curr Opin Pulm Med*. 2021 May 1; 27(3): 210–215. doi: 10.1097/MCP.0000000000000760. PMID: 33405483.
36. Yu Y, Fei A. Atypical pathogen infection in community-acquired pneumonia. *Biosci Trends*. 2016 Feb; 10(1): 7–13. doi: 10.5582/bst.2016.01021. PMID: 26961211.
37. Drummond D, Hadchouel A, Petit A, Khen-Dunlop N, Lozach C, Delacourt C, Berteloot L. Strategies for recognizing pneumonia look-alikes. *Eur J Pediatr*. 2022 Oct; 181(10): 3565–3575. doi: 10.1007/s00431-022-04575-9. Epub 2022 Jul 30. PMID: 35906335.
38. Wunderink RG. Guidelines to Manage Community-Acquired Pneumonia. *Clin Chest Med*. 2018 Dec; 39(4): 723–731.